UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,145	07/22/2003	Stephen W. Boyd	FXH1006USC1	8267
	7590 11/24/200 ILES & O'CONNELL	EXAMINER		
8519 EAGLE POINT BLVD Suite 180 LAKE ELMO, MN 55042			NGUYEN, VI X	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/625,145	BOYD, STEPHEN W.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Victor X. Nguyen	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ate, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Apply be timely filed FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 16,28-35,63 and 64 is/are pending if 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16,28-35,63 and 64 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) as Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s))/Mail Date formal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/625,145 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed on 04/07/2009.

Response to Amendment

2. Accordingly, claims 16, 28-35 and 63-64 are pending in this present application.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16 and 28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 16, 28-30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kasinkas et al US 6,263,236.

Claim 16: Kasinkas et al disclose a cage 18 which is movable from a collapsed position to an expanded position (fig. 8b), the cage 18 having a plurality of openings in the expanded position, the openings being formed by rigidly connected elements 20b, the cage being configured such that when the cage is in the expanded position at the vascular site material from the vessel wall extends from the vessel wall into the openings, the cage having an inner surface which defines a cavity, the cage being releasable so that the cage may be left within the patient. It is noted that the limitation "the cage may be left within the patient" (an intended used language). The statement of intended used and other functional statement have been carefully

Art Unit: 3731

considered but are deem not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Kasinkas reference which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so; and a material removing element 20c positioned within the cage cavity to remove the material from the vessel wall extending into the openings when the cage is in the expanded position, the material removing element being positioned beneath the cage (fig. 9b) and being configured to be movable along the inner surface of the cage to remove the material extending into the openings (see col. 6, lines 15-20).

Claim 28: Kasinkas et al disclose a sheath 16; an expandable cage 18 movable from a collapsed position to an expanded position, the cage forming a plurality of openings in the expanded position (fig. 8b), the cage being configured such that when the cage is in the expanded position at the vascular site material from the vessel wall extends from the vessel wall into the openings, the expandable cage 18 having an inner surface which defines a cavity, the expandable cage being contained within the sheath 16 in the collapsed position so that the sheath holds the cage in the collapsed position, the cage being releasable so that the cage may be left within the patient; the sheath being retractable relative to the cage to expose the cage and permit the cage to expand; and a material removing element 20c positioned within the cage cavity to remove material from the vessel wall extending into the openings, the material removing element being positioned beneath the cage (fig. 9b) and being configured to be movable along the inner surface of the cage to remove the material extending into the openings.

Claims 29-30 and 33: Kasinkas et al disclose the cage has rigidly connected elements 20b which form the openings, the rigidly connected elements being deformed when moved from the expanded position to the collapsed position, wherein the rigidly connected elements are

deformed within an elastic range when moving from the expanded position (fig. 8b) to the collapsed position (fig. 8a), and wherein the cage forms 2-10 openings (figures 1, 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 31-32 and 63-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Kasinkas in view of Fearnot US 5,100, 423.

Claims 31-32 and 63-64: Kasinkas teaches all limitations substantially as claimed except a bag positioned to receive the material. Feamot teaches the bag or net (see figure 8, element 202) in order to capture debris or matter from the bag or the filter. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to modify Kasinkas by adding the bag or the net as taught by Feamot in order to capture debris or matter from the bag or the filter.

Claims 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Kasinkas. Kasinkas discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Kasinkas is silent regarding the openings of the cage have a length of at least 1 mm and the openings of the cage have a size of at least 0.5 mm. Regarding claims 34-35, the device could make the openings of the cage have a length of at least 1 mm and the openings of the cage have a size of at least 0.5 mm as best seen in fig. 1. In the alternative, it has been held that changes in size only require routine

Art Unit: 3731

skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device with the openings of the cage have a length of at least 1 mm and the openings of the cage have a size of at least 0.5 mm and a minor modification of Kasinkas's device would adopt the same for use under various conditions of service, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re. Aller, 220F, 2d 454, 105 USPO 233.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

Application/Control Number: 10/625,145 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AnhTuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Victor X Nguyen/

Examiner, Art Unit 3731

/Anhtuan T. Nguyen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731

11/20/09